UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V

Judgment in a Criminal Case (For Revocation of Probation or Supervised Release)

Dion Lamy

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:04CR01677-001JB

USM Number: 26799-051

Defense Attorney: John Samore, Appointed

THE DEFENDANT:		,	, 		
-	admitted guilt to violations of condition(s) Special of the term of supervision. was found in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.				
The defendant is adjudicat	ed guilty of these violations:				
Violation Number Nature of Violation			Violation Ended		
Special Condition The defendant failed to reside at an a Residential Reentry Center appropriate officer for a period of (up to) 6 more		pproved by the probation			
The defendant is sentenced Reform Act of 1984.	d as provided in pages 1 through 3	3 of this judgment. The senten	ce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing		
☐ The defendant has not	violated condition(s) and is disch	narged as to such violation(s).			
name, residence, or mailin	g address until all fines, restitution	n, costs, and special assessmen	r this district within 30 days of any change of nts imposed by this judgment are fully paid. y of material changes in economic		
9407		June 1, 2015			
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.			Date of Imposition of Judgment		
1984		/s/ James O. Brow	ning		
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge		
All No.		Honorable James			
Albuquerque, NM City and State of Defendant's Residence			United States District Judge Name and Title of Judge		
City and State of Defendan	in a residence	rame and the of Ju	ugo		
		June 6, 2015			
		Date Signed			

Judgment - Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Dion Lamy

Case Number: 1:04CR01677-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **6** months.

A term of supervision will not be reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 6 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

Kei	Keiorm Act.				
	☐ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:				
	S				
\boxtimes	,				
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:				
at on					
_	as notified by the United States Marshal.				
	□ before 2 p.m. on □ as notified by the United States Marshal.				
 □ as notified by the United States Marshal. □ as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office. 					
	as notified by the Probation of Fredhal Services Office.				
	RETURN				
I ha	have executed this judgment as follows:				
	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				
Defendant delivered on					
	at	with a Certified copy of this Judgment.			
		UNITED STATES MARSHAL			
		OTTED OTTED MINOTHE			
		By DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL			
		DEFUTE UNITED STATES WARSHAL			